REMARKS

Claims 1 and 5 have been amended by adding the word --- relative --after the word "close" on line 15 of claim 1 and line 16 of claim 5. This is intended solely for purposes of clarity to more clearly indicate that the internal cover is being opened and closed relative to the top cover unit. This amendment is not considered substantive and does not change the scope of claims 1 and 5.

The Examiner has, by Examiner's Amendment prior to allowance, added the word "on" prior to --- the medium --- . In fact, the image data may be recorded or printed on the medium. By adding the word "on", it is necessary to amend claim 2, on line 3 so that it is clear that the data reading device reads image data recorded or printed on the medium. Applicant believes this was the intent of the amendment to claim 2 by the Examiner in the Examiner's Amendment. The amendment clarifies the amendment of the Examiner.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Rule 312 amendments listed herein be entered and made of record.

Respectfully submitted

Eudene Liebersteih No. 24,645

Customer #: 01109 ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1182 (212) 278-1000

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via First Class Mail in an envelope addressed: Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on August 7 , 2006.

Dated: August 4 , 2006

NYDOCS1-829473.1